

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/176,077	1	0/20/1998	ROBIN ARTHUR GREEN	CA9-98-038	7754
25259	7590	10/01/2004		EXAMINER	
IBM CORP		= :	BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		GLE PARK, NC	2176		

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/176,077	GREEN, ROBIN ARTHUR					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William L. Bashore	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 12 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in inths after the mailing date of the final reje	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 May 2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been consecution of the consecution of the continuation of the c	sidered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen 10. Other:	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	Dilland Boshae					
		Doslean 30,2004 HILLIAM L. BASHORE					
		PATENT EXAMINER TECH CENTER 2100					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant respectfully traverses all rejected claims pending. The examiner respectfully notes that the cited references teach and/or fairly suggest Applicant's claimed limitations at the present time, as presently claimed (see also Final office action mailed 3/16/2004, an Advisory action mailed 5/11/2004.